Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
|--|------------------------------------|
| School name | Ely St John's Primary |
| Number of pupils in school | 376 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 43 (11%) |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended) | 1 |
| Date this statement was published | 20.9.21 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | Spring 2022 |
| Statement authorised by | Ely St John's Governing Body |
| Pupil premium lead | Liz Bassett |
| Governor / Trustee leads | Joseph Henry and Chris Marshall |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|---|--|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | $\frac{2021/22}{\text{Anticipated Funding for Financial year 21/22:}} \text{ £61,645}$ Funding for <u>Academic Year</u> reporting Purposes 1/9/21 - 31/8/22 Estimated £61,645 x 7/12 (1/9/21 - 31/3/22) £35,959 £63,000 x 5/12 (1/4/21 - 31/8/21) £25,685 Estimated total: £61,645 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £6,230 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | 0 |
| Total: | £67,875 |

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Key principles and Explanation of Approach:

Our main strategy for increasing the attainment of disadvantaged pupils is to ensure high quality teaching and outcomes across our broad and balanced curriculum, in all year groups. Excellent teaching has been shown to have a significant impact on the progress of disadvantaged pupils. Therefore, school leaders are using our Teaching and Learning Policy, in particular our agreed list of non-negotiables for teaching, learning and assessment, to ensure that the provision for all pupils meets the school's expectations. Through performance management, teachers are held accountable for the progress of their disadvantaged pupils, and have been set targets that include tackling barriers to learning for disadvantaged pupils.

We have committed over the last few years to much training – STEPs Therapeutic Behaviour techniques; Nurture with funding from the Opportunities Area and work with Nurture UK; PACE and other strategies to support social and emotional needs. We acknowledge many of our pupil premium children have SEND and SEMH needs attached to this or to life experiences. Expenditure is thus committed this year to building on associated strategies to ensure our training is being fully put into practice to support pupils in accessing learning

All the priorities on our school's single plan for 2021-2022 aim to positively impact on ensuring all pupils recover from the COVID period and continue to make progress and this includes disadvantaged pupils: For pupils who require additional academic intervention and sup port, we are using the pupil premium grant to fund staffing costs for interventions. Some of these are literacy and numeracy based and some are to support social communication and to develop emotional literacy / social and emotional mental health. We are also using funding to ensure staff are trained in certain strategies in line with researched methodologies. The quality of interventions and pupil outcomes are regularly monitored to ensure pupils are making good progress, and interventions are chosen from evidence-based evaluations. They include Nuffield Early Language Intervention (NELI); Precision teaching for Phonics; White Rose interventions for Maths; EAL pre-teaching groups; Reading Inference and Zones of Regulation. The outcomes and progress of higher ability disadvantaged pupils are regularly monitored by the head, who works with team leaders and teachers to ensure their needs are met.

Improving access to opportunities is an important aspect of our strategy also. We fund school residential trips as well as school after school club fees and individual musical instrument tuition. The take -up and impact of this fund-ing is recorded in the pupil premium budget.

2021-2022 expenditure has been considered alongside analysis of barriers to learning:

Our KS1 cohorts have experienced a very disjointed education in the last two years due to the COVID pandemic. The result is cohorts with gaps in phonics and reading and speech and language development as well as higher need regarding Personal and Social Education and gross and fine motor skills.

In addition;

The Year 1 PP cohort for 2021-2022 have a high level of SEND and social and emotional need. 5 of them have SEMH needs of varying types including three children who are PCIC (PLAC).

The Year 2 PP cohort for 2021-2022 is small and no one particular need prevails

The Year 3 PP cohort for 2021-2022 have a high level of contextual needs which can impact on their learning thus relationship with family is key along with catch up intervention.

The Year 4 PP cohort for 2021-2022 have a high level of SEND and social and emotional need.

The Year 5 PP cohort for 2021-2022 have a high level of SEND and social and emotional need.

The Year 6 PP cohort for 2021-2022 is a large cohort of 8 PP who have a high level of SEND and social and emotional need.

| Year | Number of Pupil | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Group | Premium Pupils in | |
| | September 2021 | |
| EYFS | 2 EFSM; | 1 contextual |
| Year 1 | 5 EFSM; (includes 1 | 3 contextual; 2 SEND; 1 EAL |
| | CIC); 2 PLAC; 2 ser- vice (9 PP) | |
| Year 2 | 3 EFSM + 1 service (3 PP) | 2 SEND/SS; 2 contextual need |
| Year 3 | 9 EFSM (inc 1 PLAC) | 5 SEND/SS or targeted support; 1 |
| | + 1 service | EAL; 5 contextual need |
| | (10 PP) | |
| Year 4 | 7 EFSM (6 PP; 1 PP+) | 4 targeted support; 3 SEND/SS/EHCP; 6 contextual need |
| Year 5 | 6 EFSM (1 inc PLAC) (6 PP) | 1targeted support;2 SEND; 1 EHCP 1EAL; 3 contextual need |
| Year 6 | 8 EFSM +(1 inc PLAC) (8 PP) | 3 targeted support; 3SEND/ EHCP;6 contextual need |
| Total | 46 | |

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|---------------------|--|
| 1 | Need arising from PP pupils with a Special Educational Need: we have a number of PP children who have ADHD or social communication disorders as well as pupils with SEND needs who do not have funding attached but who require additional intervention to progress |
| 2 | Social and emotional need arising from contextual issues and/or exacerbated by the impact of the Covid pandemic which has led to anxiety; attachment disorder; anti-social behaviours; lack of resilience for learning |
| 3 | The impact of COVID on early literacy skills (language development / reading / phonics / motor skills development) |

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
|---|---|
| To improve listening, vocabulary and narrative skills in EYFS and Year 1 | A positive impact on language skills and confidence and a resultant improvement in literacy skills and personal and social skills (baseline and end NELI assessment + |
| To enable catch up of phonics skills for year 1 and 2 | To maintain our usual higher than national phonics screening scores Evidence of children using phonics successfully in reading and writing as evidenced in book scrutiny and guided reading assessments |
| To develop a whole school approach to emotional literacy as an additional strategy to use for those individuals who find managing their emotions difficult – Zones of Regulation. To provide chat time and support for pupils who require support to manage their emotions and behaviours arising from their needs, | A consistent approach to use across the school to enable children to recognise and manage their emotions – resulting in improved support for individuals who need added input to manage their feelings. Time to talk and support to enable success for individuals in managing their emotions. |
| To train our TAs appropriately to manage the needs of the children they work with (both SEND and social and emotional) | That children progress along their flight path from individual starting points and where behavioural needs or SEND needs complicate this TAs are able to support pupils to impact on their ability to manage and therefore to make progress socially and academically. |

Activity in this academic year This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this** academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ £5,140

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Budgeted Cost | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| To remind TAs of the previous training and scaffolding model for support; to hold regular TA meetings and to ensure teachers and TAs liaise over learning; TAs to support with clear provision mapping laying out APDR; assessment and progress. | Making best Use of teaching Assistants (MITA): EEF re- search has shown that improving the nature and quality of TAs' talk to pupils can support the development of independ- ent learning skills, which are associated with improved learn- ing outcomes. TAs should, for example, be trained to avoid prioritising task completion and instead concentrate on help- ing pupils develop ownership of tasks. TAs should aim to give pupils the least amount of help first. They should allow sufficient wait time, so pupils can respond to a question or attempt the stage of a task independently. TAs should intervene appropriatelywhen pupils demonstrate they are unable to proceed. Research on TAs delivering targeted interventions in one-to- one or small group settings shows a consistent impact on at- tainment of approximately three to four additional months' progress (effect size 0.2–0.3). Crucially, these positive effects are only observed when TAs work in structured settings with high quality support and training. When TAs are deployed in more informal, unsupported instructional roles, they can im- pact negatively on pupils' learning outcomes. | 1.5 hours refresher training after school £550 | 1/3 |

| To invest in the NELI programme and to train key staff | NELI (** see below for explanation) | £1,040 for the cost of the programme and training | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|
| To resource and train staff for Zones of Regulation | This is a strategy often advised as a strategy by START for children with SEND | Overtime for TAs to train – video and face to face available Inset day am training for teachers 1.9.21 (no cost) Video training (no cost) 1.5 hours refresher training after school £550 | 2 |
| To train TAs in the attention autism bucket activity | A strategy seen in outreach to Highfields Special School to encourage children to focus and attend to an adult-led activity | TA meeting time for peer coaching (no cost) | 1 |
| To carry out the yearly 3 hour refresher training for staff for STEPs; the 6 hour foundation training for new staff and the refresher for Step Up tutors | Our therapeutic behaviour approach enables high quality, needs-led support for all children and enables us to support and impact on those children who are internalisers or externalisers and who require input to develop pro-social behaviours and resilience – it is a county approved model which requires 6 hours foundation training; 3 hours yearly refresher training and a commitment to our trained Step On tutors updating/refreshing their training regularly. | £1,500 | 2 |
| To invest in L3 TA time to provide support for the SENDCO to enable the SENDCO time to be devoted more to CPD for staff and supporting teachers to support those PP pupils with SEND | L3 TA admin time | £1,500 | 1 |

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ 23,747

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Budgeted cost | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| NELI programme started in EYFS for | ** The Nuffield Early Language Intervention (NELI) is designed to improve listening, narrative and vocabulary skills. Three to five weekly sessions are de- livered to small groups of children with relatively poor spoken language skills. The 30-week programme starts in the final term of nursery and continues in re- ception year. The 20-week programme is delivered in reception only but we will finish the programme with our Year 1's as the lockdowns prevented some chil- dren finishing. The attainment gap between disadvantaged children and their more affluent peers opens early and continues throughout schooling. There is | in roar ry | 3 |

| pupils who require it. To carry out NELI in EYFS again for the new cohort. | a wealth of evidence to show that early intervention has great potential to nar- row the gap, but few nursery and reception year programmes have been rigor- ously tested for impact. This is why the EEF funded the Nuffield Early Lan- guage Intervention. Children receiving the 30-week version (beginning in Nursery, and continuing in early Reception) made about four months of additional progress in language skills compared to children receiving standard provision. The impact of the 20- week version (delivered solely in Reception) was smaller. These impacts on language skills were still seen 6 months after the intervention. The 20 week programme should have the impact of 2+ months progress The programme is relatively cheap to buy but requires significant delivery time from TAs. The cost of the training and materials for one TA to deliver the 30- week intervention in the evaluation was just under £2,500. The TA could then deliver the intervention repeatedly. In terms of staff time, for the 30-week inter- vention there is a total requirement of 110 hours per group of four children. The commercial cost of a resource pack and training for the available 20 week programme is £1,040 and is available from Oxford University Press. | | |
|---|---|---------|-----|
| To invest in TA time to ensure precision teaching for phonics in Year 1 and 2 | We have successfully used letters and Sounds for phonics and developed an individual formative assessment model for zooming in on individuals weaker areas so as to inform precision teaching for those that need it. | £4,400 | 3 |
| TA time in KS2 to support amongst others, PP pupils all of whom have higher SEND | We have had previous training for TAs on the scaffold model following head and SENDCO; training on STEPs and training on nurture all of which our TAs put into practice when supporting our pupil premium pupils where appropriate. | £13,117 | 1/2 |

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £ 39,590

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Budgeted Cost | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|--|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| TA time in EYFS, KS1 and KS2, above and beyond SEND linked funding to support social and emotional needs | We have committed over the last few years to much training – STEPs Thera- peutic Behaviour techniques; Nurture with funding from the Opportunities Area and work with Nurture UK; PACE and other strategies to support social and emotional needs. We acknowledge many of our pupil premium children have SEMH needs attached to SEND or to life experiences/contextual or family matters. Expenditure is thus committed this year to associated strategies to en- sure our training is being fully put into practice to support pupils in accessing learning. | £22,000 | 1 |

| TA time for chat time/tea and toast and social skills work: 9.5 hours of L3 TA time | Nurture UK principles and training; STEPs training / Zones of regulation and PACE approach | £6,904 | 2 |
|---|---|--|---|
| To provide milk for free to PP pupils | Milk is very healthy for growing children and many across England are already entitled to it through different schemes. It contains the vitamins and minerals vital for good dental health, bone development, cog- nition and it also plays a key role in a healthy diet. The benefits of milk make providing it to children essential, particularly in light of in- creasing rates of childhood dental decay, obesity and hunger. Encouraging children to drink milk regularly helps them to form a healthy habit when they are young and leads to them making healthier choices throughout their lives. School and nursery milk is a source of safe, healthy nutrition, regardless of their household income. (The School and Nursery Milk Alliance) | £600 | 2 |
| To provide free music tuition for PP pupils | STEPs inclusion / equity; need for aspiration; belief in enrichment | £3,000 | 2 |
| To provide a free activity after- school club for PP pupils | Broadening horizons; developing aspiration and interest; encouraging talents; increasing opportunities | £2,000 | 2 |
| To pay for PP pupils to attend residentials in year 4 and Year 6 | Outdoor Education Advisors: Objectives supporting outdoor learning: 10 key outcomes page 11 e.g. enjoy participating and reflecting in outdoor activities and adopting a positive attitude to challenge and adventure; enhancing overall well-being by gaining personal confidence and developing character and resilience through taking on challenges and achieving success' developing sell awareness and social skills; increasing enthusiasm, self- reliance, responsibility, perseverance; extending key skills of communication | 8 pupils in Year 6 - £ 3,376 potentially 7 pupils in Year 4 - £1,610 | 2 |

Total budgeted cost: £ £68,477

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

Pupil premium children are largely progressing on a steady flight path from baselines/starting points – only 2 or 3 children in KS2 are adrift out of 43. Most below expected have significant SEND need and are on a flightpath well below expected. Item/Project Cost **Desired Outcomes** How impact will be measured 10 hours L 2 TA The teacher does a lot of interventions in-Supporting the Good progress from Early Years - addi-£5,500 cluding SALT support and social and emobaselines tional TA time to tional support support those children with EAL; speech and language needs and social and emotional needs Review Interventions have included 1:1 intense support for a child on a part time programme to enable part access to school - success is increasing and as the child steadies we are increasing hours. This has included use of the multi suite nurture space. SALT support in place for two of the PP children in EYFS and the NELI language assessment has been used to assess then intervene to support language development; another child has been part time and required 1;1 most of the time - we have successfully secured a deferral for next year to provide further opportunity to make progress before moving up a year. This child participates more now in whole class discussions and is developing a wider vocabulary. £3000 Additional For those with pupil premium to have ac-Timely support for our SENDCO time cess to more support via advice/liaison SEND pupils and evi-(proportion of an with outside services and outcomes imdence of strategies in additional day) proved through more access to advice by place to meet needs teachers from the sendco Review Invaluable and much needed. Anna has already completed a number of RICS and EHCP requests - 4 successful and another potential 9 on list to complete; lots of liaison with outside services and parents to secure best provision and support. Progress in Yr 2 25 hrs L2 TA CLIC maths at lower level; phonics inter-Improved phonics £13,750 ventions / precision teaching; SALT support screening scores / CLIC scores Review Phonics interventions successful and we achieved 41/50 82% which matched our target made at end of year 1. 8/10 passed phonics - a number with high scores / full marks. Of the two that didn't scores moved up due to intervention. 2 children had First Class@ Number intervention with the TA and made a lot of progress e.g. one child moved from Assessed: 5 years 1 m Actual age: 6 years 5 to 25/76 Assessed: 7years 4m Actual age: 6 years 7m 54/76

| incruded a sunmer | 5 | ldren in year 2 massively in reading, maths an n Project Code X - additionally funded from C | • |
|---|---|---|---|
| Progress in Yr 3 | 15 hours L2 TA Yr 3 £8,250 | Reading / phonics / precision teaching + sensory circuits (which benefits pupil pre- mium children across the years. | Individual progress re sulting from interven- tions |
| other child is havin | g 1:1 weekly chattim | | _ |
| Progress in Yr 4 | 17 hrs L2 TA yr 4 £9,350 | work on phase3 phonics to phase5 by summ | Individual progress re sulting from interven- tions |
| Progress in Yr 5 | 14 hrs L3 TA Yr 5 £8,316 | | Individual progress re sulting from interven- tions |
| 5 children are recei | vingsignificantinterv | vention time and making small steps of progres | s as a result. |
| Progress in Yr 6 | L3 TA Yr 6 £8,910 | Support for reading / spelling; word aware in class literacy support | Individual progress re sulting from interven- tions |
| Level 3 TA time for chat time to sup- port those with social and emo- tional needs as well as TA time for supporting chil- dren in the sen- sory room | 6.5 hrs L3TA £3,861 | To support those children with social, emo- tional or mental health through time to chat – time to review and restore or to chat through consequences in a way that aids learning in such areas. Time also to enable those with sensory needs to access the sensory room. | Outcomes / progress linked to Boxall profil assessments and abil ity to access class learning |
| A number of PP chi children with berea or child protection is anxious or worri | vement issues it has level. Having a regul ed about is absolutely | ar chat time this year – 7 have regular need to been essential and for those with issues placir ar chat to look forward to and to rely on to cha y essential to ensure they then settle to learn ir benefited from weekly time. Caythorpe | ng them at child in need It about things the child |
| | ildren attended the i | residential | See letter |
| Review: all three ch | | | |
| Cost: £1712 | | To ensure equitable access to activity clubs | All children where the wish, able to access |
| Cost: £1712 Activity clubs | £2000 | that enrich, inspire and engage children | clubs |
| Cost: £1712 Activity clubs £700 | | | |
| Cost: £1712 Activity clubs £700 Less due to cancell | f2000 ing clubs due to COVI f600 | D To ensure equitable access to a resource | clubs All children where the |
| Cost: £1712 Activity clubs £700 Less due to cancell Milk/snacks | ing clubs due to COVI | D To ensure equitable access to a resource linked to health | |

| Summary | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Total Pupil Premium Grant received (estimated): | £64,856 (revised 17.6.21 £63,042) |
| Total planned expenditure as above: | £65,137 |
| Total expenditure: | £64,279 |
| s a result of above support and the support provided to PP pupils in b | eing able to come in for learning |

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

| Programme | Provider |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| NELI | Nuffield Early Language Intervention |
| Nurture training / resources and Boxall Profiling | Nurture UK |